

Submission on Proposed Kaipara District Plan

Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Kaipara District Council - District Plan Review

Date received: 04/06/2025

Submission Reference Number #:15

This is a submission on the following proposed plan (the proposal): Proposed Kaipara District Plan

Submitter:

Kauri Kottage Limited

Contact person and address for service:

Craig Rendle
Pouto Marine Hall Incorporated
16-18 Signal Station Road RD1 Te Kopuru 0391
New Zealand

Electronic address for service: craig.rendle@quixnz.com

Attachments:

15 Kauri Kottage Ltd.pdf

I wish to be heard: Yes

I am willing to present a joint case: Yes

Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?

- No

If you have answered yes to the above question, are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition

Submission points

Point 15.1

Section: Historic Heritage

Sub-section: Rules

Provision:

HH-R1	Maintenance or repairs	
All zones	Where: The works are: a. Replacement or repairing in situ decayed or damaged heritage fabric, using materials which resemble the: colour, texture, form and design of the original heritage fabric, as closely as possible; and/or b. Repainting of existing painted surfaces.	2. Activity status when compliance not achieved: Restricted Discretionary 3. Matters over which discretion is restricted: a. The effects on the identified heritage values of the item; b. The resemblance of the colour, texture, form and design to the original; and c. The potential reuse of existing heritage fabric.

Support / Amend / Oppose: Oppose

Submission:

Refer to attachment.

Point 15.2

Section: Historic Heritage

Sub-section: Rules

Provision:

HH-R2	Works within heritage item setting	
All zones	1. Activity status: Permitted	Activity status when compliance not achieved: Restricted
	Works within the setting of a heritage item listed in SCHED1 – Historic	Discretionary
	Heritage Resources	3. Matters over which discretion is restricted:
	Where:	Whether the new building, structure, or earthworks will be compatible
	a. Construction of any non- habitable building or structure less than:	with, or protect, the heritage fabric, values, and significance of the heritage item including design,
	i. 10m ² in area and 2m in	detailing, architectural features and

- height; and
- ii. Not attached to the scheduled heritage item; and
- iii. There is only one such building or structure in total; or
- b. Construction of any fence less than 2m in height; or
- c. Where a heritage item is identified as a cemetery and the earthworks are:
 - i. no more than $10m^2$ in area and 1.5m depth; or
 - ii. for interments; or
 - iii. the installation, maintenance or repair of any monument associated with interments.
- d. Earthworks for the purpose of:
 - i. Gardening, garden amenities, driveways cultivation, small structures or fence posts; or
 - ii. Repair, replacement or maintenance of existing underground infrastructure, drains or water races; or
 - iii. Maintenance and repair of existing car park areas, access ways, driveways, paved areas, household connections, drains, rainwater tanks or effluent ponds.

- location of heritage items;
- b. The layout and orientation, form, and materials of the new building or structure in relation to the setting; and
- c. Where the item is listed with Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga, whether consultation has been undertaken, and the outcome of that consultation.

Notification: Any application arising from HH-R2 shall not be subject to public notification or maybe limited to HNZPT if written approval has not already been obtained.

Support / Amend / Oppose: Oppose

Submission:

Refer to attachment.

Point 15.3

Section: Historic Heritage

Sub-section: Rules

Provision:

HH-R3	Earthquake strengthening or fire protection upgrades						
All zones	1. Activity status: Controlled	3. Activity status when compliance not achieved: Not Applicable					
	2. Matters over which control is reserved:	not demoved. Not Applicable					
	a. The impact on any architectural features and details that						

- contribute to the heritage values of the heritage item;
- b. The extent of the retention of the original facade;
- c. The visual impact of the additions on the heritage item;
- d. The form and materials to be used to maintain heritage values including integration with, and connection to, other parts of the heritage item;
- e. Where the item is listed with NZHPT, whether consultation has been undertaken and the outcome of that consultation;
- f. The outcome of any assessment or consultation with a suitably qualified and experienced heritage professional;
- g. Whether any documentation of changes is proposed during and upon completion of the work by means such as photographic recording.

Support / Amend / Oppose: Oppose

Submission:

Refer to attachment.

Point 15.4

Section: Historic Heritage

Sub-section: Rules

Provision:

HH-R4	Accessibility upgrades	
All zones	2. Matters over which control is reserved: a. The architectural, design features and details that contribute to the heritage values are protected as far as practicable; b. The original fabric is protected as far as practicable; c. The visual impact of the additions are minimised; d. The integration of any additions with other parts of the heritage item; e. Whether the work is reversible;	Activity status when compliance not achieved: Not Applicable

- f. Where the item is listed with HNZPT, whether consultation has been undertaken and the outcome of that consultation;
- g. The outcome of any assessment or consultation with a suitably qualified and experienced heritage professional;
- h. Whether any documentation of changes is proposed during and upon completion of the work by means such as photographic recording.

Support / Amend / Oppose: Oppose

Submission:

Refer to attachment.

Point 15.5

Section: Historic Heritage

Sub-section: Rules

Provision:		
HH-R5	Alterations and additions	
All zones	 Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Matters over which discretion is restricted: a. Location, form, layout, scale, style, materials; b. Whether the additions and alterations enhance the heritage item or impact on heritage values; c. Any impacts on the extent of setting of the item; d. The extent to which the heritage item or building has been damaged by natural events and the necessity of the work to further prevent deterioration; e. Where the item is listed with HNZPT whether consultation has been undertaken and the outcome of that consultation; and f. The outcome of any assessment or consultation with a suitably qualified heritage and experienced heritage professional. 	3. Activity status when compliance not achieved: Not Applicable

Support / Amend / Oppose: Oppose

Submission:

Refer to attachment.



Kaipara te Oranganui . Two Oceans Two Harbours

Submission Form (Form 5)

Submission on Proposed Kaipara District Plan

Form 5. Submissions on	a Publicly Notified Propose	d District Plan under Claus	se 6 of Schedule 1 of th	e Resource Management Act 1991

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Date received:

Submitter ID:

1 Toposca Kaipara District Fian	
Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed District Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Ma	anagement Act

Return your signed submission by Monday 30 June 2025 via:

Email: Post:

<u>districtplanreview@kaipara.govt.nz</u> (subject line: Proposed District Plan Submission) District Planning Team, Kaipara District Council, Private Bag 1001, Dargaville, 0340

In person:

Kaipara District Council, 32 Hokianga Road, Dargaville; or Kaipara District Council, 6 Molesworth Drive, Mangawhai

I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,

www.kaipara.govt.nz/kaipara-district-plan-review/proposed-district-plan
All sections of this form need to be completed for your submission to be accepted. Your submission will be checked for completeness, and you may be contacted to fill in any missing information.
Full Name: CRAIG RENDLE Phone: 021 950 266
Organisation*: KAURI KOTTAGE LTD
* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of
Email: Craigo rende @ 20:xnz. com
Postal Address: 3 SIGNAL STATION TOAD
Postcode: 0391
Address for Service: name, email and postal address (if different from above):
Trade Competition
Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that: a) adversely affects the environment; and b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
Please tick the sentence that applies to you:
could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. If you have ticked this box please select one of the following: I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission Signature: Date: 3 6 25
(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)
Please note: all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or

I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar

							Heritage HH-RS	HH-01 HH-10 to	Chapter/Appendix/ objective/policy/rule/ O Schedule/Maps standard/overlay (in	Hyponic - HENTAGE P	sed
							Cart.	Oppose	Oppose/support (in part or full)	(include whether) provisions or wish your views)	(2) My submission is that:
						Cost To Owner.	DENETOHOR MILH SHEDS	BUILDING PREVIOUSLY	Reasons	(include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views)	is that:
the fortune	flooring etc) IF WE ANG TO LOSE AND FUTURE Development	us 1 roof / ortho)	mentener e / replacement to the	modernations (estemt roof or north side) And we should	THEY KDC SHOULD SURVEY IN IN IS DEEMED APPROPRIATE	HEALTAGE A CATEGORY.	ALREADY GEEN MODIFIED PMOR	WE AVE UNIT FROM OF THE		(Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)	(3) I seek the following decisions from Kaipara District Council.

Add further pages as required - please initial any additional pages